

Abstract 2017

VIRTUAL PATIENTS IN PSYCHIATRY: A CONVERSATIONAL AI PLATFORM FOR SIMULATION-BASED MEDICAL TRAINING

Type: Abstract Submission

Topic: AS22 – Education in psychiatry / AS22b – Mental health in educational systems (preschools, schools, and universities)

Authors: Daniel Novak¹, Iaroslav Petrishchev¹, Fabián Bodnár¹, Pavel Kubíček², Jan Vevera²; ¹Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Department of Cybernetics, Prague, Czech Republic, ²Faculty of Medicine in Pilsen, Charles University, alej Svobody 1655/76, 323 00 Pilsen, Department of Psychiatry, Pilsen, Czech Republic

Objectives

This study designed a scalable educational platform for psychiatric training. The platform aims to simulate interactive communication with virtual psychiatric patients, allowing practitioners to practice diagnostic skills safely.

Methods

We developed a virtual patient system with a user-friendly interface supporting voice input and output. The platform includes a database of 60 patient profiles sourced from real clinical cases, anonymised medical records, and synthetically generated data, offering various diagnostic scenarios (Fig. 1). The system features tools for inspecting physiological examinations (Fig. 2) and evaluating students' adherence to ethical and methodological standards and verifying the accuracy of their diagnoses (Fig. 3).

wpa figures.png

Fig 1. System's demonstration



Fig 2. CT brain scan



Fig 3. Evaluation of the diagnosis



 [enlarge](#)

Results

The platform was developed with 14 psychiatrists and tested on 9 students. Psychiatrists rated the realism of dialogues as highly satisfactory, while students reported improved diagnostic confidence (7.5/10). The average diagnostic score for all psychiatrists was 71% (Table 1). The system maintained low latency (under 1s per response), and the Gemini 2.0 Flash model demonstrated strong emotional consistency and accurate role-play. Participants found the experience of communicating with virtual patients useful (7.5/10, see Table 2). Cost analysis revealed the solution is viable for large-scale educational deployment.

table 1.png

Table 1. Psychiatrists Summary Report. Expert 1 was participating in the design process.					
The coding of similarity score to human: 0-not , 1-medium, 2-yes					
Experts	# Tests	# Patients tested	# bugs found	Avg human score (0,1,2)	Avg Diagnosis Score
Expert 1	90	59	28	1,8	69,3
Expert 2	2	2	1	2	80
Expert 3	2	2	2	2	75,0
Expert 4	2	2	1	2	75
Expert 5	2	2	2	2	65,0
Expert 6	2	2	1	2	65
Expert 7	2	2	1	2	75,0
Expert 8	1	1	1		
Expert 9	1	1	0	2	80,0
Expert 10	1	1	0	2	80
Expert 11	1	1	1	1	20,0
Expert 12	1	1	0	2	80
Expert 13	1	1	1	2	80,0
Expert 14	1	1	1	2	80

 [enlarge](#)

table 2.png

Table 2: Results of platform testing on students. Student 7 opted out from the study.										
Questions	Student 1	Student 2	Student 3	Student 4	Student 5	Student 6	Student 8	Student 9	avg	Std
Year of study	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Difficulty of diagnosis	Medium	Medium	Easy	Medium	Medium	Easy	Medium	Medium		
Realism	9	6	8	6	7	6	7	7	7,0	1,1
Interest	10	7	7	10	8	10	8	4	8,0	2,1
Usability	9	5	9	9	5	10	8	7	7,8	1,9
Trust in diagnosis	10	7	8	10	8	10	5	8	7,9	2,8
Diagnostic accuracy	90	90	70	40	100	100	70	70	78,0	20,3
Behavior match	9	4	5	6	10	10	8	9	7,8	2,2
Ethics evaluation	9	6	8	10	8	8	8	7	8,0	1,2
Overall opinion	10	7	7	10	8	8	4	6	7,5	2,0

 [enlarge](#)

Conclusions

The system provides a low-cost, language-adaptable, user-friendly solution for practising diagnostic interviews and receiving structured feedback. Future development will focus on expanding the patient database, incorporating multimodal diagnostic cues (e.g., images, labs), and refining feedback systems through further clinician input. The approach holds promise for reshaping psychiatric training and bridging the gap between theory and safe, realistic practice.

Print